



# **ANNEX 1**

# APPLICATION AND EVALUATION FORM OF THE PROPOSAL

Competitive Open Access (C.O.A.) to ReDIB









Reference: REDIB-02-PDA/ANNEX 1		Revision: 3	Date: august 2024
Approved			
Node ReDIB			








## **APPLICATION FORM COA -ReDIB-**

Call COA-ReDIB				Date				
Brief description of the requested proposal (max 100 characters)								
Name and Surname						ORCID		
Applicant	Entity		Ema	il			Phone	
		Project Title						
Sour	ce of funding	Official code Project	:					
Project in which	ces of the R&D&I Research the requested proposal is	Funding agency						
	integrated	Type of Project		□ National □ International, non-European □ □ Internal □ Private □ Other			□ Regional □ E	uropean
		1. CSO / Social Scie	nces		2. DER / Law	V		
		3. ECO / Economy			4. MLP / Min	nd, language	and thought	
		5. FLA / Culture: Philology, literature and art		ure	re G. PHA / Studies in history and archaeology			gy
Su	bject Area	7. EDU / Educationa	al Sciences		8. PSI / Psico	ology		
Indicate the th	ematic area of the project	p. MTM / Mathema	atical Sciences		☐ 10. FIS / Physical Sciences			
according to https://ww	o the AEI classification: ww.aei.gob.es/areas-	11. PIN / Industrial production, engineering		☐ 12. TIC / Information and communications technologies				
tematicas/area	is-tematicas or the closest match	☐ 13. EYT / Energy and Transport		☐ 14. CTQ / Chemical sciences and technologies				
maten		☐ 15. MAT / Materials Sciences and Technology		☐ 16. CTM / Environmental science and technology				
		☐ 17. CAA / Agricultural sciences		☐ 18. BIO / Biosciences and biotechnology				
		☐ 19. BME / Biomedia	cine		☐ 20. Other (s	pecify)		
					☐ MRI 1 T		COA hours requ	ested
		☐ BioImaC			Cyclotron COA hours requested		ested	
					Radiochemistry Lab		COA hours requested	
					☐ MRI 7 T		COA hours requ	ested
DaDID assent	int for cities affers of me				☐ MRI 11.7 T		COA hours requested	
KeDIB essenti	al facilities offered as COA	☐ CIC biomaGUI	VIE.	☐ PET-CT			COA hours requested	
Select the reque	sted ICTS node and indicate	CIC biomagoi	NE		SPECT-CT COA hours reque		ested	
the access time	you consider necessary for our proposal.			COA hours requ		ested		
If you cannot estin					Radiochemistry Lab COA hours requested			
	responding node to receive nece on the matter.	☐ Imaging La Fe			☐ MRI3T		COA hours requ	
	ee on the matter.	Illiaging La re			PET-MRI COA hours requested			
					☐ MRI3T		COA hours requ	
				MRI 7 T		ested		
		☐ TRIMA – CNIC		Nano PET-CT COA hours reque				
					Multidetector-CT COA hours reques		ested	
lik	service modality you would se to opt for²	☐ Full assista	nce		☐ Presential		☐ Se	If service
	hich of the following ReDIB ation your proposal best fits into	Clinic	☐ Preclir	nic	Radiot	racers	☐ Radioo	chemistry Lab

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Requested access time: cannot exceed the maximum offered in the AAC call for the indicated installation. Proposals that require more hours of work. If you are unable to estimate the access time required to undertake the experimentation associated with the Proposal, consult with the ReDIB node involved. <sup>2</sup> Full assistance: the requested experiments are carried out by ReDIB technical staff, following the user's requirements, but without the user's physical presence at the centre. In-person: The user can participate and assist ReDIB technical staff during the development of the experiments. Self-service: The user, after ad hoc training by ReDIB, directly uses the infrastructure to which he or she has been granted access, always under the supervision of ICTS technical staff. Not all service modalities are available for all installations. In case of doubt, please contact ReDIB technical staff.









### **AUTHORIZATIONS, COMMITMENTS AND DECLARATIONS**

The electronic signature of this form is MANDATORY, and with it the applicant,

1. AUTHORIZES ReDIB, if its AAC application is accepted, to publish the following information on its website: access code, principal investigator, entity, project title, essential facility and node in which the experiments will be carried out

### 2. COMMITS TO:

- a. INCLUDE an express mention of ReDIB in scientific publications resulting from the COA granted.
- b. NOTIFY published results to info@redib.net

3.	<b>DECLARES</b>	what is	marked	in the	boxes	below
----	-----------------	---------	--------	--------	-------	-------

	I have already contacted ReDIB or one of its nodes, where they have confirmed the TECHNICAL FEASIBILITY* of the experimentation for which I request ICTS access in this Proposal.
	This Proposal involves the use of EXPERIMENTAL ANIMALS, and for this purpose I have the corresponding approval from the Ethics Committee, as well as other documentation that may be required (i.e., Animal Experimentation Procedures, health certificates, veterinary approval for the transfer of animals)
	This Proposal involves HUMANS as subjects of study (i.e., clinical trial) and for this purpose has the mandatory approval by the Ethics Committee. I undertake to provide, when requested by ReDIB, all relevant information in this regard.
ReDIB USE exercise th as entities available of	TECTION. The personal data collected in this document will be incorporated and processed in the file "ICTS RS", the purpose of which is to receive and evaluate requests for use of the ReDIB facilities. The user may neir rights of access, rectification, deletion and portability of their data before the nodes that make up ReDIB, jointly responsible for the "ICTS ReDIB USERS" file, through the procedure for exercising personal data rights on the ReDIB website: www.redib.net. All of which is reported in compliance with article 6 of Organic Law December 5, on the Protection of Personal Data and guarantee of digital rights.  Having been informed of the processing to which my personal data will be subject, I freely give my consent to such processing.
Electronic sig	nature of the applicant:

### **General Information and Instructions for Completing this Form**

ReDIB infrastructures and services are available to all members of the national and international scientific community, who can access them under the Competitive Open Access (COA) modality, or under the Access on Demand (AOD) modality. In the first case, COA, access to the essential ReDIB facilities is free or with minimal costs for the user (i.e., consumable costs), while in the AOD modality the rates published on the website of the nodes that make up this distributed ICTS apply. ReDIB declares its firm commitment to offer at least 20% of the capacity of its essential facilities in COA mode.

The approval of the COA to the essential ReDIB facilities requires adequate justification that the access proposal complies with sufficient scientific-technical quality. In this sense, the proposals received that are part of R&D&I projects financed by a competitive call from an international or national funding agency will be deemed to meet the required quality, and will therefore be automatically approved, with their access to the ICTS being conditioned by the score obtained in the evaluation and their position on the priority list. Otherwise, if no data is provided on the existence of a competitively funded parent project, the applications will be approved or not based on the relevance and opportunity criteria applied in the evaluation and, once approved, their access is also conditioned by the score obtained.

In all cases, to obtain the corresponding score, which will allow the prioritized list of approved applications with assigned access to be drawn up, all the sections of this access application must be properly completed, which are related to the different criteria that will be assessed by the ReDIB Access Committee, in order to establish the priority of each of the approved accesses in each COA call.

Information for ReDIB Coordination Committee



<sup>\*</sup> In order for an COA Proposal to be approved and prioritized, it is necessary to ensure that ReDIB, at any of its nodes, can technically undertake the requested service or experimentation. Therefore, it is recommended that AAC applicants consult in advance about the TECHNICAL FEASIBILITY of their Proposal, contacting the ReDIB node most directly involved in it, and, in any case, provide in this form all the details of the experimentation that the Proposal contemplates to be carried out at ReDIB facilities.





Provide arguments supporting the scientific-technical relevance of the proposal, its quality and originality					
Briefly describe the experimental and methodological design that you intend to carry out during your access to ReDIB					
Justify your expectations for future scientific-technical contributions and express your commitment to publish and disseminate the ICTS access you are now requesting.					









Describe the strengths and/or impact of your proposal, in terms of advancing knowledge and innovation				
Explain the social, economic or industrial significance of the result obtained with the access granted				
Explain criteria of opportunity or impact on the advancement of knowledge or in the translational or applied field of the research you plan to carry out during your access to ReDIB.				

Information for ReDIB Coordination Committee







### TO BE COMPLETED BY THE EVALUATOR

Scientific and technical relevance of the proposal and its underlying project						
CRITERIA 0 POINT		1 POINT	2 POINT	TOTAL		
Quality and originality of the project and research plan	The submitted project has acceptable quality and originality.	The submitted project has a good quality and originality	The submitted project has an excellent quality and originality			
Adaptation of methodology, design and work plan to the objectives of the proposal	An experimental design has not been presented, or it is considered inadequate	The methodology and work plan are considered acceptable or good	The experimental design is carefully crafted and well suited to the objectives.			
Expectations of scientific and technical contributions derived from the proposal	The proposal does not refer to expectations of publication/dissemination of its results.	The request sets out well-founded expectations of future contributions arising from the proposal.	The proposal sets out well-founded expectations of contributions arising from the requested ICTS access, and undertakes to document this.			

Opportunity/impact of the proposal							
CRITERIA	0 POINT	1 POINT	2 POINT	TOTAL			
Contribution of research to the advancement of knowledge	The application does not justify or refer to a possible contribution to the advancement of knowledge, or any innovative contribution.	The application provides well-founded expectations of advances in knowledge or innovations in the field of the proposal	The request fully justifies its timeliness and impact due to the advancement of knowledge and innovation that will result from the planned studies.				
Potential social, economic and/or industrial impact of the expected results	The application does not provide arguments as to a possible social, economic or industrial relevance of the expected results.	The application begins to analyze possible social, economic or industrial repercussions of certain significance.	The application argues in detail the importance and/or social, economic or industrial significance that the expected results will have.				
Opportunity for exploitation, translation and/or dissemination of the expected results	No criteria of opportunity or impact on the advancement of knowledge or in the translational or applied field are mentioned.	The request generates well-founded expectations of being able to respond to timely issues of exploitation, translation or dissemination of results.	The request is convincing regarding the generation of responses to scientific-technical questions of undeniable opportunity, impact and/or application.				

# APPROVED because it is based on a Research Project funded competitively by a European or national R&D funding agency or administration, or because it meets, in the opinion of this evaluator, ACCEPTABLE QUALITY AND SCIENTIFIC-TECHNICAL RELEVANCE criteria. DENIED. The planned research is not competitively funded by a European or national R&D funding agency or administration, nor does it meet or provide, in the opinion of this evaluator, scientifictechnical quality criteria that make it eligible for the benefits of Open Access to ReDIB.

Total score obta	ained by the application	
		Evaluator Signature
Evaluator comments		
(optional)		

