

APPLICATION FORM

Competitive Open Access (C.O.A.) to ReDiB

ReDiB COA Call	ReDiB-2501	Completion date:
Proposal Title		
Principal Investigator		ORCID:
Institution/ Department		Phone:
		Email:
<p><i>If applicable, please index with a number your preference on the ReDiB node for which you are requesting access. Otherwise, mark with an × the only ReDiB node that will be able to service your request</i></p>	<input type="checkbox"/> BiolmaC <input type="checkbox"/> CIC biomaGUNE <input type="checkbox"/> Imaging La Fe <input type="checkbox"/> TRIMA – CNIC	
<p><i>Please indicate the infrastructure, facility or service offered by ReDiB for which you are requesting Competitive Open Access (COA) or Access on Demand (AoD)</i></p>	<p>Essential ReDiB facilities (offered as COA)</p> <input type="checkbox"/> CT Multidetector <input type="checkbox"/> PET-CT <input type="checkbox"/> MRI 1T <input type="checkbox"/> PET-MRI <input type="checkbox"/> MRI 3T <input type="checkbox"/> SPECT-CT <input type="checkbox"/> MRI 7T <input type="checkbox"/> Radiochemistry Lab <input type="checkbox"/> MRI 11.7T <input type="checkbox"/> Cyclotron	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Other ReDiB facilities (offered as AoD) Please specify	
<p><i>If applicable, please estimate the access time to the indicated facility that you consider necessary for your proposal¹</i></p>	Requested Access time: _____ hour	
<p><i>Please, specify the type of service ² you would like to opt for</i></p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Full support <input type="checkbox"/> Assisted <input type="checkbox"/> Self-service	
<p><i>Please specify which of the following ReDiB specialization areas best fits your proposal</i></p>	<input type="checkbox"/> BIOMATERIALS <input type="checkbox"/> CONTRAST AGENTS <input type="checkbox"/> CANCER <input type="checkbox"/> METABOLISM <input type="checkbox"/> CARDIOVASCULAR <input type="checkbox"/> NEUROBIOLOGY <input type="checkbox"/> CLINIC RESEARCH <input type="checkbox"/>	

¹ The specified access time may not exceed the maximum offered in the corresponding COA call for the requested facility. Proposals that require more hours of work than this maximum must choose either to request new access in successive COA calls, or to request Access on Demand, AoD (see General Instructions in the next page). If you are not able to estimate the access time, in hours, required to undertake the experimentation associated with your proposal, or if this is a long-term study (more than two months), do not hesitate to consult the ReDiB node most directly involved, where they will willingly help you with this estimate.

² **Full support:** The requested experiments are carried out by the ReDiB technical staff, following the user's requirements, but without the physical presence of the user in the center. **Assisted:** The user can participate and assist the ReDiB technical staff during the development of the experiments. **Self-service:** The user, after ad hoc training by ReDiB, directly uses the infrastructure for which access has been granted, always under the supervision of ICTS technical staff. Not all service modalities are available for all facilities. In case of doubt, please contact the ReDiB technical staff.

AUTHORIZATIONS, COMMITMENTS AND STATEMENTS

The electronic signature of this form is MANDATORY, and with it the applicant,

1. **AUTHORIZES** ReDIB, if this COA application is accepted, to publish the following information on its website: access code, principal investigator, entity, title of the project, essential facility, and node where the experiments will be carried out
2. **UNDERTAKES** to include an explicit mention of ReDIB in the scientific publications derived from the granted COA
3. **DECLARES** what is checked in the boxes below:
 - I have already contacted ReDIB or one of its nodes, where they have confirmed the TECHNICAL FEASIBILITY* of the experimentation for which this Proposal requests ICTS access
 - This proposal involves the use of EXPERIMENTAL ANIMALS, and for this I have the approval of the Ethics Committee, as well as other documentation that may be required (i.e., Animal Experimentation Procedures, health certificates, veterinary approval for the transfer of animals...)
 - This proposal involves HUMAN SUBJECTS (i.e., clinical trial) and for this purpose has the mandatory approval of the Ethics Committee. I will provide, when required by ReDIB, all relevant information in this regard.

DATA PROTECTION. The personal data collected in this document will be incorporated and processed in the "USUARIOS ICTS ReDIB" file, the purpose of which is to receive and evaluate requests for the use of ReDIB facilities. The user can exercise before the nodes that make up ReDIB, as entities jointly responsible for the file "USUARIOS ICTS ReDIB", their rights of access, rectification, deletion, and portability of their data through the procedure for exercising rights of personal data available on the web from ReDIB: www.redib.net. All of which is reported in compliance with article 6 of Organic Law 3/2018, of December 5, Protection of Personal Data and guarantee of digital rights.

- Having been informed of the treatment to which my personal data will be subjected, I freely give my consent to said treatment.

ELECTRONIC SIGNATURE OF THE APPLICANT:

** In order for a COA Proposal to be approved and prioritized, it is necessary to ensure that ReDIB, at any of its nodes, can technically undertake the requested service or experimentation. For this reason, it is recommended that COA applicants consult previously about the TECHNICAL FEASIBILITY of their Proposal, by contacting the ReDIB node most directly involved, and, in any case, provide, in section ii of this form, all the details of the experimentation that should be performed during the requested access to ReDIB.*

General Information and Instructions for filling out this form

The infrastructures and services of ReDIB are available to all members of the national and international scientific community, who may access them either under the Competitive Open Access (COA) modality, or under the Access on Demand (AoD) modality. In the first case, COA, access to the essential facilities of ReDIB is free or with minimal costs for the user (i.e., costs of consumables), while in the AoD modality the rates published on the ReDIB website are applied. ReDIB, as a distributed ICTS, declares its firm commitment to offer in COA mode at least 20% of the capacity of its essential facilities.

Approval of COA to essential facilities of ReDIB requires adequate justification that the access proposal meets sufficient scientific-technical quality. In this sense, if the proposal described in this form is part of a Research Project financed in competitive calls by a European or national R&D administration or agency, it will be understood that the requested access meets the required quality, and as such will be automatically approved. Otherwise, if this application does not provide data on the existence of a competitively financed parent project, it will be mandatory for the applicant to fill in the Annex of this form, in order to fully justify the scientific-technical quality of the proposal, so that, based on this, the ReDIB Access Committee can approve the COA request.

Given that the resources offered in the COA modality are limited, it is necessary to establish prioritization criteria for their distribution among the different applicants who have received COA approval in each call. For this reason, this form requests data on various aspects that constitute the evaluation criteria to be applied for the prioritization of the different applications, as described in the Regulations of the Access Committee.

The sections shown in the following pages (i, ii, ..., xii) are related to the different criteria that will be assessed by the ReDIB Access Committee, with a view to establish the priority of each of the accesses approved in each COA call (see Regulations of the Access Committee). With the exception of the first section, (i), it is not mandatory to fill in all the other sections, although it is recommended to do so, for most or all of them, and respond with well-founded and weighty arguments, in order to achieve the highest final grade, and so a high prioritization of the approved access.

i. SCIENTIFIC-TECHNICAL QUALITY OF THE PROPOSAL

Specify the reference of the Research Project financed in competitive concurrence, in which the experimentation that you intend to carry out during your COA access is integrated. Below, provide arguments that support the quality and originality of your proposal. If you do not have a competitively funded Project, you must use the last section of this form, *Annex*, to justify to the Access Committee that there is a solid and well-founded research project behind your proposal, which has the necessary and required scientific-technical quality.

Project Title:
Principal Investigator:
Funding Agency:
Project Reference:

Criteria of quality and originality:

ii. METHODOLOGY, EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN, WORK PLAN

Briefly describe the experimental and methodological design that you intend to carry out during your access to ReDiB

iii. SCIENTIFIC-TECHNICAL RELEVANCE OF THE PROPOSAL

State the reasons that give scientific-technical importance to your proposal

iv. RECENT ACHIEVEMENTS OF THE RESEARCH TEAM

Show quality indices of your research work and that of your team, i.e., last five papers of your group, impact factors, h-indexes, ...

v. RECENT RESULTS OF THE TEAM IN THE FIELD OF THE EXPERIMENTAL PROPOSAL

List your group's contributions in the field of experimentation for which you are requesting access to ReDIB, and, if applicable, justify how you have publicized your previous accesses to this or other ICTS

vi. EXPECTATIONS OF RESULTS AND COMMITMENT TO DISSEMINATE THIS ACCESS

Justify your expectations for future scientific-technical contributions derived from the results of your access to ReDIB, and state your commitment to publish and disseminate the ICTS access you are now requesting

vii. CONTRIBUTION TO THE ADVANCEMENT OF KNOWLEDGE AND/OR INNOVATION

Describe the strengths or impact of your proposal, in terms of advancement of knowledge and innovation

viii. SOCIAL, ECONOMIC, OR INDUSTRIAL RELEVANCE OF THE PROPOSAL

Explain in this section if, beyond the scientific-technical relevance of your proposal (section *iii*), the results obtained with the requested access will have social, economic, or industrial importance

ix. PROPOSAL OPPORTUNITY

Explain, if applicable, the temporary or circumstantial convenience of the research that you plan to carry out during your access to the ReDIB, in terms of the exploitation, translation or dissemination of the results derived from it.

x. INTEGRATION OF THE PROPOSAL WITH THE SCHEDULE OF THE PARENT PROJECT

Comment on the timing of your research project, and how this timing is related to the current COA call.

***xi.* TIME RESTRICTIONS OF THE PROPOSED EXPERIMENTATION**

State reasons or time limitations that advise or oblige, from an experimental point of view, to schedule your access to ReDiB within the dates corresponding to this COA call.

***xii.* INSUFFICIENT PRIORITIZATION IN PREVIOUS COA CALLS**

Specify the previous COA calls in which your proposal for access to ReDiB could not be fully addressed due to not having sufficient priority to undertake the required experimentation.

Annex. RESEARCH PROJECT BEHIND THIS PROPOSAL

This section should only be completed in those proposals that are not part of a competitively funded parent project. In this case, the applicant must provide arguments so that the ReDiB Access Committee can validate the quality of the scientific project that supports his/her proposal. (add additional pages if required)